

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 60-40-1-1 1874 Yinon Degani 09/26/2001 09/964,009 06/12/2003 7590 PETER V. D. WILDE EXAMINER **301 EAST LANDING** NGUYEN, DILINH P WILLIAMSBURG, VA 23185 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2814

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicati n No. Applicant(s) DEGANI ET AL. 09/964.009 Advisory Action Art Unit **Examiner** 2814 DiLinh Nguyen -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below): (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: ___. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____. Claim(s) objected to: _____ Claim(s) rejected: 1,10-12 and 19-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____. 10. Other: ____

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

⁴C ntinuation She t (PTO-303) 09/964,009

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that all the claims 1-21 should be examined together in the same application and the restriction of species was improper.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because:

Embodiment 1: claims 1, 10-12 and 19-21, directed to the stacked packages and Faraday cage.

Embodiment 2: claims 2-9 and 13-18, directed to the stacked package and specially about a through hole interconnection through the digital MCM substrate electrically connecting an electrical node on the system substrate to an active electrical node on the RF MCM substrate exclusive of any electrical connection to an active electrical node on the digital MCM substrate.

Therefore, embodiment 1 and 2 are patentably independent and distinct species, unpatentability of embodiment 2 invention would not

necessarily imply unpatentability of the embodiment 1 invention.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no basis for combining the teachings of Akram et al. with those of Vendramin in the manner of the rejection, the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

LONG PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER